

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-41529 of 2015

Date of decision: February 19, 2016.

Bikramjit Bansal

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.79 dated 27.12.2012, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Badhni Kala, District Moga, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nihal Singh Wala received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the complainant as well as the accused and has reported that the complainant as well as the accused have effected compromise which is genuine, voluntary and without any pressure and coercion. Statements of the parties have also been enclosed with the report.

Since the parties have compromised, therefore, FIR No.79 dated 27.12.2012, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Badhni Kala, District Moga, along with all consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

February 19, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge