

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-42431 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 21st DECEMBER, 2016

Ravneet Garg

.... Petitioner

Versus

Central Bureau of Investigation, Chandigarh

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. S. K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
 Ms. Shweta Nahata, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Sandhu, Special Prosecutor
for the respondent-CBI.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Reply filed by the counsel for the CBI is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the CBI makes a categorical statement that on 06.12.16 the challan has been presented by the CBI before the CBI Court at Panchkula. He submits that the impugned order rejecting bail application was prior to the filing of the chargesheet and as a result the trial Court has no occasion to go through the chargesheet and to examine the entire material.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the trial Court has passed the order in the instant case and therefore, the likelihood of trial Court being influenced even if the petition for regular bail filed after filing of the challan. He, therefore, submits that it should be clarified that

the observations made by the trial Court in the impugned order should not prejudice the mind of the trial Court while passing the fresh orders.

In that view of the matter, the present petition is disposed of reserving the liberty in favour of the petitioner to apply for regular bail after filing of the chargesheet before the CBI Court. The trial Court shall not be influenced by its observation made in impugned rejecting the bail of the petitioner.

Disposed of with directions as aforesaid.

21st DECEMBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes* *No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes* *No*