

208.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42401-2016

Date of decision:21.12.2016

RAGHUVIR SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.171 dated 22.10.2016 under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Canal Colony, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner had executed an agreement dated 28.10.2014 for the sale of a plot measuring 300 square yard situated at Surakpeer Road, Bathinda and as per agreement, petitioner had taken ₹6 lakhs from the complainant, namely, Raghubir Singh son of Chand Singh.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Jagtar Singh, submits that on the relevant date when the agreement was executed by the petitioner i.e. on 28.10.2014, he was not the owner of the said plot and, therefore, had wrongly received ₹6 lakhs from the complainant.

Heard, learned counsel for the parties.

Since on the relevant date i.e. 28.10.2014, petitioner was not the owner of the plot, in question, he was not authorized to enter into any agreement.

In view of above, no case is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, present petition stands dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

21.12.2016
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No