

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

**IOIN-CWP No.6625 of 2001 &
CWP No.6625 of 2001
Date of Decision: 03.05.2016**

Brij Mohan Gupta

.... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Engineer-in-Chief and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate
Amicus-Curiae.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioner who is stated to have died and inspite of several attempts made by Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate who is appointed as Amicus Curiae, he has received no response from the legal representatives of the petitioner.

On 23.02.2016, learned counsel for the State of Haryana was asked to find out whether there was any record with the State with regard to the legal representatives of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State of Haryana submits that no record has been found.

In view of the above, the present petition which is pending for regular hearing is taken up on Board and is dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate states that he would continue to make attempts to contact the legal representatives of the petitioner and in case any favourable response is received from them, he is permitted to revive the present petition.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

May 03, 2016
rittu