

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.42305 of 2016
Date of Decision: 09.12.2016

Naseem Ahmed

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the petitioner.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Perusal of the impugned order shows that the trial Court exercised its discretionary power with application of mind that it was not necessary to send the complaint to the police for investigation under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. After going through the complaint, he specifically occurred that cognizance under Section 200 Cr.P.C. is required to be taken and accordingly he has registered the same as private complaint. The order is legally correct and proper.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that next date given is 17.12.2017. I think the date 17.12.2017 appears to be a typographical mistake. The petitioner may request the trial Court for correction of the date.

Disposed of.

**[A.B. CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE**

09.12.2016

sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No