

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41355-2015

Date of Decision: February 8, 2016

Preety and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Vishwajit Bedi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

The office report reveals that respondent Nos. 4 to 6, were served through their family members, but no one has appeared on their behalf.

Learned counsel for the petitioners on taking instructions from the petitioners, who are present in Court, submits that at present, the petitioners have no apprehension or threat to their lives and liberty. The petitioners, who are present in Court, state at bar that their marriage has been accepted by the

private respondents and, as such, they do not want any protection.

Disposed of as infructuous.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 8, 2016

apurva