IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42266-16
Date of decision: November 28, 2016.

Tejpal @ Indu

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Karan Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. Considering the fact that all the witnesses have been examined and the case is now fixed for arguments as also perusing the evidence produced by the Investigating Officer of the case, no ground for the grant of interim bail is made out, even though the factum of marriage of the brother of the petitioner is admitted by Learned Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer.

Dismissed.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

November 28, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No

VINOD KUMAR KADYAN
2016.11.29 16:49
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh