

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

FAO No.4853 of 2002 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 31, 2016

Parwinder Singh

... Appellant

Versus

Beeran Nath and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Gopal Mittal, Advocate for respondent Insurance Co.

Rajan Gupta, J.

Claimant has challenged the findings of the tribunal on the limited question of computation of compensation on account of damages caused to his jeep.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that tribunal has erred in not granting adequate compensation regarding damages caused to the jeep of the appellant in the accident. He, thus, submits that reasonable amount may be granted to the appellant under the aforesaid heads.

Respondents No.1 and 2 remain unrepresented.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.3 insurance company submits that adequate compensation has been awarded for the damages of the vehicle of the appellant. He, however, submits that insurance company has already been absolved by the tribunal.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and given careful

thought to the facts of the case.

It appears that accident took place on 8.11.1998, in which jeep of the appellant was damaged. Admittedly, accident was result of rash and negligent driving of the offending truck being driven by respondent No.1. As a result of said accident, jeep of the appellant was damaged which was 1974 Model. The tribunal has granted Rs.25,000/- on account of damages caused to the jeep of the appellant. I am of the considered view that there is no error in the impugned award. Thus, no interference in the appellate jurisdiction of this court is called for.

Dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

August 31, 2016
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No