

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42207-2016

Date of decision: 29.11.2016

Pardeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Suneel Ranga, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay K. Saini, AAG, Haryana

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.

The instant petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0127 dated 19.04.2016 for offences under Section 376 IPC, registered at Police Station Sonipat, District Sonipat.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in said case. Since the petitioner and the prosecutrix known to each other as they were working in the same office and any allegation of committing an offence under Section 376IPC would not be made out. It is also argued that the statement of the prosecutrix has already been recorded, in which she has admitted that even after being made aware that the petitioner was married, she continued to keep contact with him.

In view of the fact that the petitioner has been in custody since 19.04.2016, the statement of the prosecutrix has already been recorded and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody furthermore.

Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/learned trial Court concerned.

Petition stands disposed of.

29.11.2016

Dinesh

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No.

Whether reportable

Yes/No.