

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42130-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.11.2016

Saleem

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Tewatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by HC Paramjit Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.834 dated 06.10.2016, registered under Sections 307, 323, 147 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at P.S. Camp Palwal.

Contends that it is a case of no injury. Otherwise also, the matter stands amicably settled between the parties. The petitioner is in custody since 12.10.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. On instructions, learned State counsel submits the petitioner is a habitual offender and involved in five more FIRs, out of which he stands convicted in one case. The challan is yet to be presented.

Heard.

Keeping in view that it is a case of no injury, ~~no recovery has~~

ATUL SETHI
29.11.2016
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

been effected from the petitioner, he is in custody since 12.10.2016 and the matter stands already compromised (Annexure P-3), without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

29.11.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No