

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42096 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.12.2016

Sonu @ Bhau @ Hukmt Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Sadhu Singh.

None for respondent No.2.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No. 79 dated 09.08.2012, registered under Section 302 of IPC, at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda.

It is contended that no incriminating evidence was collected against the petitioners during the course of investigation and they were exonerated by the investigating agency. Subsequently, on an application filed by the prosecution, the petitioners have been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. The petitioners have been

falsely implicated in the instant case.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances of the case, it is ordered that in case the petitioners appear/surrender before the trial Court, within a week from today, in that eventuality, they shall be admitted to bail on their furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Disposed of.

22.12.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No