

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41119-2015 &
CRM-M-41120-2015
Date of decision: October 25, 2016.

Angrej Singh and others &
Kashmir Singh and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioners in
CRM-M-41119-2016 & respondents No.2 to 5 in other case.

Shri Vikas Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners in
CRM-M-41120-2016 & respondents No.2 to 4 in other case.

Shri Shailesh Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. In FIR No.177 dated 8.9.2015, under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 and 326 IPC, PS Sadar, District Tarn Taran and the cross case arising therefrom bearing Rapat No.11 dated 9.9.2015, the petitioners are being prosecuted respectively. Now, there is a compromise that has been arrived at between the warring parties.

This Court had made an order on 30.8.2016 for recording of the statements of the parties for finding out the genuineness of the compromise. Accordingly, there is a report received from the Additional CJM, Tarn Taran along with the statements accompanying thereto. Perused the same. The statements show that the compromise has been arrived at between the warring parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

In that view of the matter, in both the matters, compounding/compromise has to be allowed so as to enable the parties to live in peace and harmony.

Consequently, both these petitions are allowed. The FIR in question and the cross case arising therefrom are quashed and set aside along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge

October 25, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No