

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 41117 of 2015  
Date of decision: 05.12.2016**

**Tarun Pahuja & Another**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab & Another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab  
for respondent No. 1-State.

Ms. Alisha Soni, Advocate  
for respondent No. 2.

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 206 dated 11.07.2015 (**Annexure P-1**), registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Abohar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 12.11.2014 (**Annexure P-2**) entered into between the parties.

The marriage of the complainant was solemnized on 15.07.2011 with petitioner No. 1-Tarun Pahuja, as per Hindu rites and rituals. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, matrimonial dispute arose and the aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No. 2-Meenu. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a

compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate at Abohar, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one. It is also reported that no criminal case or PO proceeding is pending against either of the parties and in fact a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act has also been filed which is pending.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for respondent No. 2-complainant admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR (Cr.) 543***, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 206 dated 11.07.2015 (**Annexure P-1**), registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Abohar and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

**December 05, 2016**  
SP/Ansari

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned*

*Yes*

*Whether reportable*

*No*