

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4204 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 26.10.2016**

Narrotam Lal and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Ms. Aashna Gill, Advocate, for
Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Kuldeep Sharma, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr. Rajender Helwa, Advocate, for respondent no.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.126, dated 22.02.2015, registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Bhiwani city, District Bhiwani and all the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and out of free will of the parties. The trial court has also sent the statements of the parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only

aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal), 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioner.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

October 26, 2016
nt

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No