

CRM-M-4197-2016 (O&M)
CRM-M-4199-2016 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4197-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 12, 2016.

Satinder Kaur and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

2.

CRM-M-4199-2016 (O&M)

Gurmeet Kaur and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Sarbjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioners
in CRM-M-4197-2016 and for respondent No.2 in
CRM-M-4199-2016.

Shri G.S. Thind, Advocate for the petitioners
in CRM-M-4199-2016 and for respondents No.2 & 3 in
CRM-M-4197-2016.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

This order shall dispose of both the captioned petitions. These

two matters arise out of FIR No.256 dated 20.11.2015, under Sections 448, 326, 324, 323, 148, 149 and 25/54/59 of the Arms, registered at Police Station Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar and the cross case registered under Sections 452, 326, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Jandiala Guru in the aforesaid FIR. In both the matters, the counsel for the respective accused and the complainant jointly submit that the parties being neighbours have settled the dispute amongst themselves and have arrived at a compromise and accordingly the compromise deed has been prepared, which has been filed on record as Annexures P-2 and P-3.

Though the offences are under Section 326 IPC but since the parties want to buy peace, being neighbours, I think they should be allowed to compound/compromise in view of the compromise deed placed on record as Annexures P-2 and P-3 but certainly with a condition that they will maintain peace and tranquillity.

The fact however remains that both the complainant and the accused in the counter cases had set the criminal law into motion and, therefore, the State ought to be compensated by token of the amount. In that view of the matter, I make the following order:-

ORDER

- (i) Both the petitions, namely, CRM-M-4197-2016 and CRM-M-4199-2016 are allowed;
- (ii) FIR in question and the cross case arising therefrom are quashed;
- (iii) the petitioners in both the petitions shall pay an amount of

CRM-M-4197-2016 (O&M)
CRM-M-4199-2016 (O&M)

3

Rs.5,000/- to the office of the SSP, Amritsar within a period
of four weeks.

July 12, 2016.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge