

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41889 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.12.2016

Bikar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.84 dated 17.08.2016, registered under Sections 489-B, 489-C and 489-D of IPC, at Police Station Baragudha, District Sirsa.

It is contended that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot. He has been falsely implicated in the instant case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, Labh Singh. The recovery has been foisted upon the petitioner. He further states that co-accused Labh Singh from whom allegedly Rs.20,000/- had been recovered has been granted the concession of bail. The petitioner is in custody since 20.08.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that co-accused, Labh Singh has been enlarged on bail, the challan stands presented; charges have been framed; out of total 09 PWs, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.12.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No