

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4187 of 2016
Date of Decision: 26.10.2016

Deepak and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.J.S.Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms.Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Tanmay Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.537 dated 29.06.2014, for the offence under Sections 498-A/323/406/506/34 IPC registered at Police Station Sector-5, Gurgaon, District Gurgaon, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 19.11.2015 (Annexure P-5).

The FIR was registered on the basis of statement made by Dipti Yadav-complainant/respondent No.3. It was alleged by the complainant that since the beginning of the marriage, the behaviour of the petitioners and their family members was rude towards her. They were not satisfied with the dowry articles brought by her at the time of marriage. Besides, they used to illtreat and taunt her for bringing inadequate dowry. They were maltreating, torturing and beating the complainant/respondent No.3 with a view to compel her to bring more dowry from her parents as they were not satisfied

with the quality and quantity of the dowry and article given by her parents.

On this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has been resolved between the petitioners and respondent No.3-Dipti Yadav, vide compromise dated 19.11.2015 (Annexure P-5).

In compliance with the order dated 16.08.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the JMIC, Gurgaon, has been received in this regard. As per report, Dipti Yadav-complainant and accused-petitioners appeared before the trial Court on 09.09.2016 for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In this regard statements of complainant- Dipti Yadav and accused-petitioners were recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter and Dipti Yadav-complainant has no objection if the present FIR is quashed against these accused. Statements of accused-petitioners were also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the Court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.537 dated 29.06.2014, for the offence under Sections 498-A/323/406/506/34 IPC registered at Police Station

consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

26.10.2016
anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>