

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40919-2015
Date of decision:25.05.2016**

Divya Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Kumar Landharia, Addl. P.P. for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh , J.(Oral)

The prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C is for quashing of FIR No. 109 dated 12.03.2015 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 279, 337 of IPC at Police Station Sector 11, Chandigarh, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh has been received wherein it has been reported that neither the complainant Puneet Kumar nor victim Tulsi Ram appeared before the Court to get their statement recorded. Hence, the petition for quashing of FIR No. 109 dated 13.03.2015 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 279, 337 of IPC at

Police Station Sector 11, Chandigarh, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) stands dismissed.

25.05.2016
sp

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE