

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-40869 of 2015

Date of decision: February 15, 2016.

Vipan Kumar

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Ramneek Vasudeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Kler, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.26 dated 3.4.2013, registered under Sections 365, 324, 323, 341, 427, 148, 149 IPC, at Police Station Nurpur Bedi, District Rupnagar, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Anandpur Sahib received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the complainant as well as the accused and has reported that the parties have compromised and the same is voluntary, without any undue influence, pressure and coercion.

The State has also filed reply stating that challan was presented under Sections 341, 323, 324, 427 IPC.

Since the parties have compromised, therefore, FIR No.26 dated 3.4.2013, registered under Sections 365, 324, 323, 341, 427, 148, 149 IPC, at Police Station Nurpur Bedi, District Rupnagar, along with consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

February 15, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge