

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-41754 of 2016
Date of Decision:-30.11.2016

Rachit @ Sheri Narang

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr.Bipan Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Paras Talwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Nakul Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer made in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.36, dated 24.03.2016, under Sections 302, 307, 324, 323, 34, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 & 27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Mamdot, Distt. Ferozepur.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is in custody since 07.04.2016 and injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature. As per the FIR, injuries have been caused on the forehead of Vijay Kumar and Tony and the petitioner has not caused any injury to deceased-Darshan Kumar.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant has argued that the petitioner has caused injuries on the person of Vijay Kumar and Tony which are sharp in nature. He further argued that petitioner was

present at the scene of the occurrence and had actively participated in the alleged occurrence.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in Court today which is taken on record.

Heard.

Taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 07.04.2016 and injuries attributed to the petitioner are not stated to be grievous, but simple in nature, coupled with the fact that trial in the case will take sufficient long time to conclude, I deem it appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail.

Accordingly, present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

Needless to say that the observations made here-in-above shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

30.11.2016
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes

Whether reportable?

Yes/No