

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41744 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.12.2016

Ravinder Kumar @ Sonu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Bachittar Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.74 dated 05.07.2016, registered under Sections 307, 420, 468, 120-B read with Section 149 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

It is contended that the present FIR was registered on the basis of secret information. The petitioner has not been named in the FIR. The vehicle in which the petitioner has been shown to be travelling is owned by co-accused, Balkaran Singh who has already admitted to bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions

admits the factum that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner but she states that his presence with the co-accused renders him liable for commission of offence.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact the petitioner is not named in the FIR; the investigation stands concluded; challan has been presented, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.12.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No