

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CRL. MISC. No.M-41721 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 28th NOVEMBER, 2016

Satnam Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Arunjeet Singh Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Rule heard forthwith with consent of counsel for the parties.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioner was on bail in FIR No.81 dated 11.12.2014 registered under Section 15 of NDPS Act at Police Station Sadiq, District Faridkot. During the trial he remained absent on 08.07.2016 and as such the trial court cancelled his bail bonds and issued non-bailable warrant against him. However, in my opinion, the petition for anticipatory bail would not be maintainable and the petitioner ought to have challenged the impugned orders on what ever grounds available.

Be that as it may. In view of the reasons given in para 6 and 7 of the petition and in view of the undertaking given by counsel for the

petitioner on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner would hereinafter shall attend the Court with promptitude, but without finding any fault with impugned order, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) Rule is made absolute.
- (ii) Orders dated 08.07.2016, 09.08.2016 and 14.09.2016 (Annexures P-2, P-3 & P-4) passed by learned Sessions Judge, Faridkot, are set aside.
- (iii) The petitioner is granted bail in FIR No.81 dated 11.12.2014 registered under Section 15 of NDPS Act at Police Station Sadiq, District Faridkot, on furnishing fresh bail bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.
- (iv) The petitioner would continue to appear before the trial Court with promptitude till the completion of trial.
- (v) Any further default would be viewed seriously by the trial Court.

Disposed of accordingly.

28th NOVEMBER, 2016
‘raj’

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.