

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-40738 of 2015

Date of decision : 12.02.2016

Rohit Mittal and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, AAG Punjab

Mr. Lalit Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 2

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 89 dated 19.05.2015 filed under Sections 354, 468, 471, 506 and 354D IPC registered at Police Station Canal Colony Bathinda and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise.

The trial Court has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. The trial Court has also sent copy of the statements of parties.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that petitioners are the accused and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/aggrieved person in this case.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the said FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the

principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another***, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others*** (2012) 10 SCC 303, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all the consequent proceedings arisen out of the same are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

February 12, 2016

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**