

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41572 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.11.2016

Sunil @ Sunda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Suresh Kumar.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.82 dated 04.06.2016, registered under Sections 147, 148, 186, 332, 341, 353, 506 and 379-A of IPC, at Police Station Loharu, District Bhiwani.

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been subsequently implicated in the present FIR on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, Anil, who has already been granted bail by this Court, vide order dated 15.11.2016. The petitioner is in custody since 10.07.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail

application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that co-accused of the petitioner, namely Anil has already been enlarged on bail; out of total 17 PWs, only 02 PWs have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.11.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
-----------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----