

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-40582 OF 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :15th JANUARY, 2016**

Sat Parkash Gupta & anr.

.... **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

State of Haryan & anr.

.... **Respondent(s)**

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

* * * *

Present : Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Vibha Dhiman, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. H.P.S. Bhinder, Advocate for
Mr. Arvind Arora, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.84 dated 20.04.2007 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 420, 323 and 506 IPC at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from learned SDJM, Naraingarh after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. Learned SDJM has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. Learned SDJM has also sent copy of the statements of parties.

Reply filed by the State today in court is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners

are the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

15th JANUARY, 2016
'raj'

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE