

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-40570-2015

Date of Decision: February 17, 2016

Darshan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Additional AG, Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Gobind Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that the offences punishable under Sections 427 and 451, IPC, are bailable, therefore, the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to appear before learned Trial Court and move an application for grant of bail in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel representing the informant/respondent No. 2, has no objection to the above prayer.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 17, 2016
apurva