

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-41495 of 2016
Date of Decision: 05.12.2016**

Hashim & others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. D.R. Punia, Advocate for
Mr. Chander Shekhar Singhal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.460 dated 22.7.2016 under Sections 420, 468, 471, 182, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station City Jagadhri.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners have not forged any document and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submitted the co-accused of the petitioners namely Naresh Kumar and Jagdish, who are similarly placed with the petitioners, have already been admitted on bail by this Court.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has submitted that in fact, the petitioners are residents of Uttar Pradesh, but they have got their

vehicles registered on the basis of forged ration cards and forged affidavits, showing themselves to be residents of District Yamuna Nagar.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The claim of parity of the petitioners vis-à-vis Naresh Kumar and Jagdish, co-accused, cannot be accepted, as they were not the beneficiaries. Petitioner no.1 – Hashim got his vehicle No.HR-58A-4515 registered with the registering authority by projecting himself to be resident of Hamida, District Yamuna Nagar though he was resident of District Muzaffar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh. Petitioner no.1 has also submitted a forged DDR in order to get duplicate copy of the registration certificate. Similarly, petitioner no.2-Mohd. Taseen and petitioner no.3 - Mohd. Ahsan have got their vehicles No.HR-58A-7315 and HR-58A-7215, respectively, registered by producing forged ration cards and false affidavits, showing themselves to be residents of Village Shadipur, District Yamuna Nagar, though they were also residents of District Muzaffar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh. Thus, the petitioners are alleged to be beneficiaries of the forgery committed by them.

Therefore, no case for grant of pre-arrest bail is made out for the petitioners.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

December 05, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No