

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-40547 of 2015 (O&M)**

**Date of decision:22.01.2016**

*Sukhdeep Singh Sodhi & others*

*... Petitioners*

Vs.

*State of Punjab & another*

*... Respondents*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present: Mr. Paramjit Batta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, AAG, Punjab.

...

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.**

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of criminal complaint No.15 dated 11.06.2012 titled as Jagtar Singh vs. Sukhwinder Singh and others filed by respondent No.2 under Sections 3 & 4 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities Act) and Sections 120-B/506/34 IPC pending in the Court of learned JMIC, Sangrur and all proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 20.05.2013 (Annexure P-3) which as per counsel has been arrived at between the parties.

While issuing notice of motion on 04.12.2015, this Court had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and a report with regard to the veracity of the compromise was called for.

Placed on record is a report dated 01.01.2016 of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sangrur. As per report, complainant, Jagtar Singh has suffered a statement that he has compromised with accused Sukhdeep Singh, Gurpreet Singh and Hardeep Singh i.e. present petitioners. Likewise, even the statements of the present petitioners have been duly recorded. Statement of Jagtar Singh recorded on 15.12.2015 has been appended along

with the report and in terms of which complainant has stated that he

now wishes to pursue the matter only against co-accused SI Bakhshish Singh and HC Bikar Singh.

As per office report, complainant, Jagtar Singh/respondent No.2 herein has been duly served. However, no representation has been caused on his behalf.

Counsel appearing for the petitioners has even adverted to order dated 22.07.2014 passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM No.M-23560 of 2013, whereby an identical prayer seeking quashing of this very complaint qua co-accused Sukhwinder Singh Sodhi @ Bablu and his wife, namely, Satinder Kaur @ Sati on the basis of compromise had been allowed.

In view of the facts and circumstances noticed hereinabove, this Court is of the considered view that even though some of the offences against the petitioners are non-compoundable, yet since the parties have amicably resolved their differences and settled the matter, the ends of justice would be met if the complaint filed by respondent No.2 and all proceedings emanating therefrom so far as they relate to the present petitioners are set aside.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. Criminal complaint No.15 dated 11.06.2012 titled as Jagtar Singh vs. Sukhwinder Singh and others filed by respondent No.2 under Sections 3 & 4 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities Act) and Sections 120-B/506/34 IPC pending in the Court of learned JMIC, Sangrur qua the present petitioners is quashed and all proceedings emanating in pursuance thereto including the summoning order dated 10.09.2015 (Annexure P-5) are set aside.

Petition is allowed.

**22.01.2016**

*harjeet*

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**  
**JUDGE**