

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.119

CRM-M No.41470 of 2016

Date of decision: 21.11.2016

Kanu Priya and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Rajeev Dev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 16.11.2016 (Annexure P-4) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, District Pathankot, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, District Pathankot (respondent No.2) is directed to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 16.11.2016 (Annexure P-4) made on behalf of the petitioners

and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in

accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

November 21, 2016

Jyoti 1

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No