

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40537-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision:08.02.2016

Sumit ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Vishal Deep Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Dr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kanhiya Soni, Advocate,
for Mr. Deepak Tuteja, Advocate,
for the complainant.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that 06 out of 11 witnesses cited by the prosecution have already been examined. Prosecution shall not delay the trial.

In view of the above, no ground to enlarge the petitioner on bail, at this stage, is made out.

Dismissed.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to press for the bail in case trial does not conclude within a period of three months. This will be subject to the condition that petitioner does not delay the proceedings.

08.02.2016
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE