

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-40536 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 08.04.2016

Anil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)
2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)

Present:- Mr. Anil Ghanghas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ramender Chauhan, Advocate
for complainant-respondent No.2

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as complainant-respondent No.2 submit that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the statements of the parties have also been recorded in this regard.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the parties, interim order passed by this Court on 12.1.2016 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 08, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE