

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41447 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.11.2016

Narender

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.R Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.0052 dated 01.04.2016, registered under Sections 379-A and 392 of IPC, at Police Station Lakhan Majra, District Rohtak.

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been arrested after three months from the registration of the FIR. The petitioner is a young boy of 27 years and is not involved in any other FIR. He is in custody since 25.06.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that allegedly mobile phone was recovered from the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused

the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 25.06.2016; out of total 10 PWs, 05 PWs have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

29.11.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No