

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-41446 of 2016
Date of Decision: November 21, 2016

Balkran SinghPetitioner
Vs.
State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.
-.-

Present:- Mr.P.K. Ganga, Advocate for the petitioner.
-.-

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner claims that he is in judicial custody and three more
FIRs have been registered on the similar grounds.

Petitioner has not filed any application for pre-arrest bail before
the Sessions Court.

In the interest of judicial propriety, it is deemed appropriate to
require the petitioner to file an application under Section 438 Cr.P.C. before
the Sessions Court.

Disposed of to enable the petitioner to first approach the
Sessions Court for seeking relief under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

November 21, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/ No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.