

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4143 of 2016.
Decided on:-18.02.2016.

Lawrence and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

On notice of motion having been issued to the respondents, Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents

No.4 to 6 and states that respondents No.4 to 6 have no objection even if the petitioners have solemnized the marriage. Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6 in Court today, is taken on record.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jai Kishan, states that since respondents No.4 to 6 have no objection in the case, the State does not oppose the petition.

Without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal to take appropriate remedial measures, as warranted by law, on the representation dated 3.2.2016 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioners.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

February 18, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE