

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40480-2015

Date of Decision: February 05, 2016

Shilpa Kwatra and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.P.L.Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.S.K.Saini, AAG, Haryana.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the complaint has been withdrawn by the complainant from learned trial Court and, as such, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the State has not controverted the aforesaid fact.

Ordered accordingly.

February 05, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE