

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41370 of 2016
Date of Decision:30.11.2016

ParveenPetitioner
Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Khush, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Asst. A.G., Haryana.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner in case First Information Report No.293 dated 02.10.2015 under Sections 285/302/307/341/120 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') and Section 25 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Adampur, District Hisar.

Heard the submissions made by Mr. Ram Niwas Khush, Advocate representing the petitioner and Mr. Karan Sharma, Asst. A.G., Haryana representing the State.

The accusation against the petitioner was that he was driving the car in which the main accused Anil s/o Raja Ram Brahmin and Anoop s/o Ram Kumar had come to the spot and had committed murder of Vinod Kumar.

Learned State counsel has filed affidavit of Sh. Shamsher

Singh Dahiya, Superintendent of jail, Central Jail-I, Hisar giving details of custody of the petitioner in the instant case. On instructions from ASI Amrit, learned counsel for State submits that the complainant and all the eye witnesses have since been examined and some prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since October, 2015 and the trial is still likely to take some time to complete and there appears no plausible ground to detain the petitioner further in custody, he is admitted to regular bail during pendency of the trial, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Hisar.

Petition stands allowed accordingly.

November 30, 2016
rashmi

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable?

Yes/No