

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-4130 of 2016

Date of Decision: 10.02.2016

Ram Karan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, D.A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.676 dated 02.11.2015 under Sections 120-B, 506 IPC, Section 4 of POCSO Act, 2012 and 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 376(2) (added later on), Section 6 of the POCSO Act and Section 67-B of the I.T. Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Samalkha, Panipat, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that even a single witness has not been examined so far including complainant/victim.

Learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

10.02.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE