

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41258 of 2016

Date of Decision: November 28, 2016

Ashish Attri

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Samyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurvir Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for the complainant.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner in this regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C are that on 26.6.2012 he entered into an agreement to sell with the complainant Balwinder Singh and in the process took Rs.62,50,000/- and rather executing the sale deed in favour of the complainant had transferred the property in favour of his mother.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a pure civil dispute and his client is still ready and willing to execute the sale deed in favour of the complainant. The factual aspect of the allegations is not controverted by the learned State counsel.

In the light of the fact that the petitioner has shown his willingness to undergo his part of the contract and that the culpability, if any, shall be determined at the time of trial which is not likely to be

concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 28, 2016

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No