

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-40220 of 2015

Date of Decision: 22.03.2016

Amit Palta

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, D.A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Sunil Narang, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 18.01.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation and recovery has also been effected from him.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on instructions from Head Constable Chamkaur Singh, has also affirmed the factum of joining investigation and recovery of some of the items.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the dowry articles have still not been recovered. He has shown the list of jewellery items prepared by the jeweller, wherein, only the weight of the gold items

has been mentioned and it cannot be considered as a bill.

The allegations levelled in the FIR are matter of evidence which can be seen during trial. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, the interim order passed by this Court on 18.01.2016 is made absolute.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

22.03.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE