

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.40175 of 2015

Decided on: 07.01.2016

SURINDER KUMAR AND ORS

. . . Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Prersent: Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Anmol Grewal, Asstt. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (Oral)

Petitioners seek benefit of regular bail filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. pending trial in case FIR No.269 dated 29.09.2015, under Sections 323, ,324, 326 342 506 and 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.

On 04.12.2015, a coordinate Bench of this Court has already considered the prayer as regards petitioner No.3 namely Raj Kumar son of Surinder Kumar and has granted benefit of

CRM-M No.40175 of 2015

regular bail. In so far as petitioner Nos.1 and 2, are concerned, the matter was adjourned.

During the course of hearing today, it has gone uncontroverted that no specific injury is attributed to petitioner No.1 namely Surinder Kumar. In the alleged occurrence injuries stated to have been suffered by Raj Rani with the aid of scissor. Said injuries are specifically attributed to Ramesh Kumar son of Surinder Kumar (petitioner No.2), which has attracted Section 326 IPC.

In the totality of circumstances, while declining the petition of petitioner No.2, petitioner No.1 (Surinder Kuamr) is granted the benefit of regular bail.

Let petitioner No.1 (Surinder Kuamr) be enlarged on bail subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Ludhiana.

[TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA]
JUDGE

07.01.2016
sachin