

CRM-M-41059-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41059-2016

Date of Decision: 28.11.2016

Karanvir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. K.B.S.Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S.Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner, Karanvir Singh, has filed this second petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.94 dated 30.05.2016, registered at Police Station City Muktsar, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, under Sections 458, 380, 379-B, 120-B and 34 IPC and Section 459 IPC.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that challan has already been presented and charges have been framed, but no witness has been

CRM-M-41059-2016

examined so far, meaning thereby trial is at the preliminary stage. As per the FIR, when complainant-Chhinderpal Kaur alongwith Paramjit Kaur and Ramandeep Kaur was present in her house, then three young persons armed with *kappa* entered in the house after opening the window. As per the allegations, Karanvir Singh gave a *kappa* blow on the head of Paramjit Kaur; co-accused, Paras Chugh, gave a *kappa* blow to Ramandeep Kaur which hit on her left ear and co-accused, Ramandeep Singh, inflicted two respective blows of *kappa* on the complainant's left hand and left foot. They took away ₹12,000/- lying in the almirah and also snatched purse which was containing ₹3,000/-.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the facts that there is every possibility of tampering with the evidence and no witness has been examined so far, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of regular bail at this stage.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

28.11.2016

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No