

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-40239 of 2015

Date of decision : 09.05.2016

Prakul Vaashisht

... Petitioner

versus

U.T. Chandigarh

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. G.S. Attariwala, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Sukant Gupta, Advocate for U.T. Chandigarh

Mr. Anand Chhiber, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Gaurav Mankotia, Advocate
for the complainant

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that compromise has been effected between the parties.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that in compliance of the order dated 25.11.2014 the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 25.11.2014 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation and will not leave India without permission of the Court and will comply with the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

May 09, 2016