

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41043 of 2016.
Decided on:-17.11.2016.

Santosh Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the respondent No.3 not to make harassment to the petitioner at the instance of respondents No.4 to 7.

Prayer has also been made for issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.3 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the respondent No.6 is daughter-in-law of the petitioner whereas respondent No.7 is her real son. Vide notice as published in newspaper on 18.12.2015, the petitioner has already disowned respondents No.6 and 7 from her movable and immovable property. But still, she is being harassed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that for the

aforesaid grievance, the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 04.10.2016 (Annexure P-2) to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar and the petitioner would be satisfied if the respondent No.2 is directed to look into the contents of said representation.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On asking of this Court, Mr. Jangsher Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting on the claim as projected by the petitioner in the present petition, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to look into the contents of representation (Annexure P-2) and to act in accordance with law.

November 17, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No