

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 117

**CRM No. M-41029 of 2016
DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 17, 2016**

MANDEEP KAUR AND ANOTHER

.... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with
 Mr. Amandeep Singh Cheema, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty with further directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 not to harass them at the behest of respondents No.4 to 7 and not to interfere in their married life.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3, only at this stage.

3. At the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

4. As per the version unfolded by the petitioners, they are major in age and have solemnized marriage on November 14, 2016. In support thereof, they have placed on record marriage certificate and photographs of marriage

Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively.

5. Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of, with the direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala (Punjab) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation dated November 14, 2016 (Annexure P-5) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. This order shall not be construed to be conferring the legitimacy or authenticity to the factum of marriage having been performed as well as their age, as the Court is clearly deprived of any means to determine the aforesaid facts.

NOVEMBER 17, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No