

Sr. No. 208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-40074 of 2015
Date of Decision: 09.02.2016**

Gurpreet Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. H.S.Dhindsa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate,
for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.272 dated 18.09.2015, under Sections 323/324/326/34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur.

FIR was lodged on the complaint of Jai Singh in relation to an occurrence that took place on 14.09.2015. Accusations are against Baldev Singh (main accused/non-applicant) and other members of his family i.e. wife Gurpreet Kaur (petitioner No.1 herein) and his sons, namely, Jagmeet Singh and Kamaldeep Singh (Petitioners No.2 and 3 herein) having assaulted the complainant Jai

Singh as also his brother Dilbagh Singh in a Gurudwara when a Panchayat had been convened to resolve a dispute between the parties.

At the very outset, it may be noticed that petitioner No.1, namely, Gurpreet Kaur has been attributed the role of having raised a lalkara. No injury has been attributed to her.

Even learned State counsel as also counsel appearing for the complainant do not oppose the prayer made by petitioner No.1 Gurpreet Kaur for grant of concession of pre-arrest bail.

Accordingly, the present petition qua petitioner No.1 Gurpreet Kaur wife of Baldev Singh is accepted. Order dated 24.12.2015, passed by this Court qua petitioner No.1 is made absolute.

Counsel appearing for the petitioners at this stage makes a statement that he does not want to press the present petition qua petitioners No.2 and 3.

As per submission made by counsel, the present petition qua petitioners No.2 i.e. Jagmeet Singh and petitioner No.3 i.e. Kamaldeep Singh is dismissed as withdrawn.

Counsel, however, makes a statement and furnishes an undertaking that both petitioners No.2 and 3 would surrender forthwith and if thereafter an application is filed seeking regular bail, direction be issued for disposal of such application immediately.

In this regard, it is observed that in case petitioners No.2 and 3 surrender within a period of 5 days from today and thereafter files an application for regular bail, the same would be decided

strictly on merits and the Court would make an endeavour to expedite disposal of the same.

Disposed of.

09.02.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**