

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.235

CRM No.M-40068 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 08, 2016

Karanjit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Jasvir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 4.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition preferred under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.
seeking direction to the respondents No.2 to 4 to protect their lives
and liberty at the hands of respondents No.5 to 9.

As averred by learned counsel for the State of Punjab
that after issuance of notice of motion, the petitioners approach the
police at Police Post Baltana, Police Station Zirakpur, Punjab for
recording their statements and Ramneek Kaur Sidhu-petitioner No.2
made a statement to the effect that her parents have agreed to her

marriage with Karanjit Singh and further that there is no apprehension to her life and liberty at the hands of the respondents No.5 to 9. Similar, statement has been suffered by Karanjit Singh-petitioner No.1 that now there is no apprehension to their lives and liberty at the instance of the respondents. They do not want to proceed with the matter.

In view of above explained circumstances, instant petition has rendered infructuous and same is disposed of accordingly.

February 08, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**