

neglected wife, children or the parents, as the case may be, from the hands of starvation and vagrancy. In that view of the matter, the Courts below rightly fixed the maintenance in favour of the respondents. By dint of the impugned order, only a legal duty, which the petitioner has prima facie failed to oblige, has been imposed. It cannot be said that the amount of Rs. 10,000/- per month is on higher side, especially in the present scenario of high rise in the cost of living. There is no illegality or perversity in the impugned order. The petition is without any merit and is accordingly dismissed.

Nothing contained hereinabove shall be taken as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

August 16, 2016
Jiten

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether reportable

Yes/ No