

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-40000 of 2015

Date of Decision: May 17, 2016

Mani Ram and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-.-

Present:- Ms. Sushma Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

-.-

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The main contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioners have not been attributed any specific injury on the person of the deceased Ram Niwas.

With the assistance of counsel for the petitioners, I have gone through the statement of complainant Net Ram and other eye-witnesses. The petitioners, as members of unlawful assembly have collectively assaulted the deceased resulting in 18 injuries on his person. Counsel for the petitioners has referred to a large number of contradiction in the statements

of the witnesses to support the contention that the petitioners have been falsely implicated being relations of the other co-accused.

It has been informed that out of 14 prosecution witnesses, the material eye-witnesses have already been examined and only 5 more official witnesses remain to be examined.

It will not be appropriate for this Court to enter into the niceties of the trial to arrive at a conclusion regarding the culpability of the petitioners on appreciation of evidence.

In the interest of justice, this petition is disposed of with an observation that in case the trial Court is not able to conclude the entire evidence within a period of four months after the next date of hearing, after excluding the period of summer vacations, the trial Court shall release the petitioners on bail on their furnishing bail bonds/ surety bonds to its satisfaction.

May 17, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE