

123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40895-2016
Date of Decision: 17.11.2016**

Surinder Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HONBLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Ms. Sakshi Raheja, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinder Pal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Manav Sateja, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in FIR No.0092 dated 17.10.2016, registered under Sections 452, 323, 326 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC'), at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala, have prayed for quashing of FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

The complainant/respondent No.2 claims to be the victim of attack on herself. In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and ***Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another, (2014) 6 SCC 466***, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending since the victim has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons and

has furnished an original affidavit to this effect.

In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.0092 dated 17.10.2016, registered under Sections 452, 323, 326 and 34 of the the IPC'), at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed in view of compromise (Annexure P-2) and affidavit (Annexure P-3) qua the present petitioners.

17.11.2016

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No