

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

MAMTA MALHOTRA
2016-04-04 10:30

Criminal Misc.No.M-39950 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22nd March, 2016

Suzata and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. K.P.Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R.Singla, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondent(s)-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty and to provide adequate security to protect them from respondent Nos.4 to 10, as respondent Nos.4 to 8 are parents and brothers of petitioner No.1 and respondent Nos.9 and 10 are father and brother of petitioner No.2. The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that private respondents are not accepting the marriage of the petitioners and are extending threats to them. The copy of 'Adhar' card (Annexure P-1) of petitioner No.1, copy of PAN card (Annexure P-2) of petitioner No.2, photographs (Annexure P-3) and copy of marriage certificate (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners have been placed on record.

Notice of motion was issued with an interim direction that none for the petitioners would be taken in custody by any of the respondents, forcibly on the allegation that petitioner No.1 has been abducted or raped by petitioner No.2, vide order dated 27.11.2015, passed by this Court.

Today, status report by way of affidavit of Gajender Kumar, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Ballabgarh, Faridabad on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3-State has been filed. Same is taken on record. Copy has been supplied.

None has appeared on behalf of respondent Nos.4 to 10 despite service.

Service is complete.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioners has also stated that the petitioners are still feeling threat to their life and liberty.

Heard.

Without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with the directions to the petitioners to contact respondent Nos.2 and 3, if they feel any threat to their life and liberty, and respondent Nos.2 and 3 after their satisfaction that the petitioners are actually facing threat, shall take necessary steps to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from private respondent Nos.4 to 10, as per law.

However, it is made clear that this order, in no way, will affect any other proceedings pending or to be filed against the petitioners etc., which are to be dealt with independently as per law.

22nd March, 2016
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE